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Abstract: This paper critically examines social, historical and human zones of contact between contemporary native American poets and the Arab/Palestinian Intifada poets in order to illuminate issues of common interest that characterize the poetic discourse of both sides. Exploring political and textual spaces in these two poetic traditions, the paper illustrates the attitude toward native land, identity, struggle and other motifs that penetrate the poetic narrative of Palestinian and Native American poets.

In a collection of poems entitled Re-Creation, the Afro-American poet, Nikki Giovanni (1970:49) expresses her concern for the plight of the Palestinian people who are equated in their historical pain with other victimized and colonized races such as the Red Indians and the black Africans. Giovanni also draws an analogy between the Nazi holocaust of European Jews and the suffering of the Palestinian people at the hands of the Zionist/Israeli army: Regardless of the wide differences between the holocaust and any other catastrophe in human history, Giovanni approaches the suffering of the Jews and the Palestinians in addition to the plight of the Negroes and the Red Indians as part of the cycle of violence and fear afflicting the modern world:

undoubtedly there are those / who are so unfeeling / they cannot / represent mental / or emotional health / we have seen the Germans / and the Israeli reaction / and the Palestinian response / in our own time / we know the truth / of the Africans and Indians / we know we have / only begin / the horror that is waiting / south of our borders / and / south of our latitude / blood perhaps should not / all ways be the answer / but perhaps it always is (p. 49).

The analogy between the Palestinians and the native Americans, regardless of the wide cultural, religious, ethnic, geographical and historical differences between the two peoples, is striking in the sense that both of them have been subjected to genocide and persecution at the hands of imperialistic and hegemonic forces that aimed to banish them outside human history. The conditions of native Americans, isolated in reservations within the boundaries of their native land, are similar to a great extent, to the current situation of Palestinians, who are forced to stay in refugee camps and Arab ghettos inside the borders of historical Palestine, not to mention how similar those circumstances are to those experienced by pre-Israel lower class Jews living in Europe. The unequal confrontations, on the frontiers of Gaza and the West Bank, between the
Palestinian children armed with stones and the Zionist/Israeli military machine equipped with American war technology recalls to mind the confrontations on the Western frontier between the native inhabitants of America and the European colonizers who came to usurp their land and history. Furthermore, the white American and Zionist narratives of their conflicts with the colonized nations (the Red Indians and the Palestinians) are similar in the context that both narratives are based on imperialistic and racial myths that ignore the existence of the colonized peoples viewing them as savages/terrorists who must be eradicated for the sake of a better world. In “The Gift Outright”, Robert Frost depicts the conflict between the white European colonizers and native Americans over the land from a hegemonic imperialistic perspective:

The land was ours before we were the land’s/ she was our land more than a hundred years/ before we were her people. She was ours/ in Massachusetts, in Virginia, / but we were England’s, still colonials, / possessing what we still were possessed by, / possessed by what we now no more possessed. / Something we were withholding made us weak/ until we found out that it was ourselves/ we were withholding from our land of living, / and forthwith found salvation in surrender. / Such as we were we gave ourselves outright / (the deed of gift was / many deeds of war) / to the land vaguely realizing westward, / but still unstore, artless, unenchanted, / such as she was, such as she would become / (Untermeyer 1962: 255).

In Frost’s poem, cited above, America is depicted as a gift given by God to the European colonizers. The promised/virgin land myth, which is created by the European colonizers on the frontier, is manipulated in the poem to justify colonization: “The land was ours before we were the land’s/ she was our land more than a hundred years/ before we were her people”. Obviously, the Promised Land mythology is part of the colonial discourse which characterizes American poetry about the frontier experience. This kind of discourse unfortunately ignores the existence of the Red Indians dismissing them outside historical memory.

In The Mountain Muse, the American poet, Daniel Bryan, reveals a similar racist/colonial ideology like the one which is partly responsible for the persecution and genocide of the Red Indians:

where naught but beasts and bloody Indians / dwell throughout the mighty waste, and cruelty / and death and superstition, triple leagued / held there their horrid reign and imperious sway, / the guardian seraphs of benign reform / with keen prophetic glance, the worth beheld / of the immense expanse, its future scales / of freedom, science, and religious truth / when by refinement’s civilizing hand / its roughness shall all be smoothed away / O Yes, companions in the joys of bliss; / we will refine, exalt and humanize / the uncivilized Barbarians of the West / (Bryan 1986: 365).

The poem depicts the native inhabitants of America as beasts and cruel “barbarians” who must be eradicated without mercy. While the Red Indians are delineated, in Bryan’s epic poem, as savages "the uncivilized barbarians of the
West”, the European colonizers emerge as prophets and guardians of democracy and freedom. Their mission is to “refine, exalt and humanize” the savage colonized people on the frontier. The colonizers’ narrative of the conflict contradicts with historical facts which affirm that the Red Indians were the victims of aggressive colonialist politics that aimed to eradicate their existence. In 1866, during the last decades of the frontier wars, General Sherman ordered his White American troops to eliminate the Red Indian tribes at any cost: “We must act with vindictive earnestness against the Sioux even to their extermination, men, women and children” (cited in Drinnon 1980: 329). The hostile attitude toward the Red Indians undermines Bryan’s colonial discourse which views the European invaders of America as “seraphs of benign reform” and carriers of the banners of civilization.

In the poems of Frost and Bryan there is an obvious distortion of history which is a basic feature of some colonial narratives. Both poems, deeply rooted in colonial myth, reflect the tendency of the white colonizers to obliterate the history of the colonized nation. By ignoring the pre-colonial civilization of the Indians, the white colonizer’s narrative seeks to dismiss them outside the realm of human history. Such colonial ideology considers the history of the colonized Indians, prior to the European invasion of America, as inconsequential; therefore, it gives priority to the colonizers, as a superior race, destined to dominate the land of the “barbarians of the West”. The same ideology which advocates racism as a historical fact and affirms the superiority of the White European colonizers has provided a legitimate basis for the extermination and persecution of the Red Indians, the native inhabitants of the American continent.

While the Manifest Destiny myth implies that White Americans are ordained by God to humanize “the barbarians of the West” and bring European civilization to the American continent, the Zionist colonial myth seeks to dismiss Palestinians out of their homeland in order to establish a Zionist state which is an extension of Western democracy. The Zionist version of the frontier myth identifies the European colonizers of Palestine as God’s chosen people dismissing Palestinians as savage Bedouins or vicious terrorists. In The Haj (1985), for example, Uris (1985) depicts the Arab Palestinians, the colonized, as dirty, ignorant and nomadic people living in barns and sleeping “on goatskin rags” (9). Uris also points out that the colonized Palestinians are wicked Bedouins living in a society dominated by the desert law where the only way to achieve one’s ambitions is “to destroy the man above and dominate the men below” (17). He goes on to say, “The Bedouin was thief, assassin, and ruder and hard work [for him] was immoral. Despite his raggedness and destitution, the Bedouin remained the Arab ideal.” (ibid). While the colonized people of Palestine are presented as wicked and dirty assassins, the Zionist colonizer and Haganah fighter, Gideon Asch, the protagonist of the novel, is depicted as a good-looking man with “a neat blond beard and blue eyes” who came to modernize Palestine (Uris 1985: 20). In reality Asch establishes a militia of Zionist colonizers to terrorize the Palestinian citizens and dismiss them out of their homes.
A small elite force of Jewish night fighters given a free hand to strike where and when necessary without written orders (ibid: 69).... [they] will always be surrounded by tens of millions of hostile and unforgiving Arabs. If you are to survive you must establish the principle of retaliation (70).

This hostile attitude toward the native inhabitants of Palestine paved the ways for the murder of many Palestinian citizens at the hands of Zionist militias particularly in the 1940's and 1950's. The aggressive policy of the Zionist colonizers toward the armless citizens of Palestine was basically responsible for the refugee problem. Rosemary Sayigh (1979) argues that the massacre of Deir Yasin village in 1948 aimed to cause a mass exodus of Palestinians.

After the massacre, Israeli radio stations and loudspeaker cars made use of the emotive words 'Deir Yasin' to panic villages about to be attacked... once an atmosphere of terror had been created, it was easy to exploit it, to swell the exodus, with minimal losses to the attackers. (p. 76).

In his comment on the consequences of the Deir Yasin massacre, in 1948, Yigal Allon, a former Israeli cabinet member states:

We saw a need to clean the inner Galilee and to create Jewish territorial succession in the entire area of the upper Galilee. We, therefore, looked for means which did not force us into employing forces, in order to cause the tens of thousands of sulky Arabs. (cited in Khalidi 1971: 42).

In spite of the atrocities committed against the Red Indians and the Palestinians by hostile colonial forces, there has been an ardent attempt to falsify the history of colonization on both sides. Integrated in similar hegemonic policies, both the Euro-American and Zionist/Israeli narratives of their conflicts with the Red Indians and the Palestinians have used colonial mythology as a means to justify the persecution and genocide of their victims. The colonial discourse that characterizes the American narrative of the frontier wars and the contemporary Zionist literature about the Palestinian-Israeli conflict seeks either to depict the colonized people as savages/terrorists or ignore their existence. In The Haj, Uris advocates Theodore Herzl's argument that there is a people without a country (the Zionists/Israelis) and there is a country without a people (Palestine) and the problem of the Zionist European colonizers could be solved by transporting "the landless people into the un-peopled land" (cited in Goldman 1955: 6). Ignoring the right of existence of the Palestinians on their native land, Uris claims that when the Zionist colonizers came to Palestine by the end of the 19th century they found a land "which is neither fish nor fowl, neither Syrian nor Ottoman, neither Arab nor Jewish, but a no man's land, hemorrhaging to death" (Uris 1985: 21). Uris's treatment of the Palestinian-Israeli issue reflects what Edward Said (1980) calls "the moral epistemology of imperialism: 

Both the British imperialist and the Zionist vision are united in playing down and even canceling out the Arabs in Palestine as somehow secondary and negligible. Both raise the moral importance of the vision very far above the mere presence of natives on a piece of immensely significant territory. And both visions belong fundamentally to the
ethos of European mission civilisatrice - nineteenth-century, colonialist, racist even - built on notions about the inequality of men, races, and civilizations, an inequality allowing the most extreme forms of self-aggrandizing projections and the most extreme forms of punitive discipline toward the unfortunate natives whose existence paradoxically was denied (Said 1980: 19).

Moreover, the approved history of colonialist nations such as Israel, the United States, Australia and South Africa started with what Said (ibid) calls a “blotting out from knowledge” of the native people or the making of them into “people without history”.

Between 1922 and 1947 the great issue witnessed by the world in Palestine was not, as a Palestinian would like to imagine, the struggle between natives and new colonists, but a struggle presented as being between Britain and the Zionists. The full irony of this remarkable epistemological achievement - and I use the philosophical term because there is no other one adequate to expressing the sheer blotting out from knowledge of almost a million natives - is enhanced when we remember that in 1948, at the moment that Israel declared itself a state, it legally owned a little more than 6 percent of the land of Palestine and its population of Jews consisted of a fraction of the total Palestinian population. (23).

It is obvious that once the native Palestinians are banished from collective memory, at least as a people of cultural heritage, the Zionist colonizer’s moral and intellectual right to conquest is claimed to be established without question. The perverted colonial perspective of the invaders considers the Arab existence in Palestine prior to the Zionist colonization as inconsequential. Such colonial ideology provides a pre-text for the extermination of all the native Arabs in Palestine because they are seen as a threat to the Zionist pioneers and the emerging Zionist state. The same colonial discourse overestimates the colonizers viewing them as carriers of Western civilization and at the same time it degrades the colonized Palestinians portraying them as agents of evil and barbarism.

In response to such a colonial discourse, both Palestinian and Native American poets have developed a counter-poetics of resistance that aimed to subvert the imperialist hegemonic narrative and provide an insight into the brutal nature of colonization and its impact upon the colonized peoples. Contemporary poets, on both sides, explore issues such as identity, struggle and the loss of one’s homeland and its consequences on the collective consciousness of the colonized nations. While the native American poetry, in this context, is characterized by deep feelings of nostalgia for a pre-frontier past and a paradise that is lost forever, the Palestinian Intifada poetry is dominated by anger, revolution and a burning desire to restore what has been lost using all possible means of resistance and struggle. Unlike academic poets who isolate the poetic text from the complex network of conditions which makes its creation possible “by way of brutally yanking it from the very history and materiality of its production” (Ahmad 1992: 32), Palestinian and native American poets engage poetry and politics. They wrote poems that challenge colonial hegemony, poems that have the potential for manipulating the masses as material forces and
instruments of social change. By writing poems of resistance or what Amiri Baraka (1969:116) calls “poems that shoot guns”, contemporary Palestinian poets are able to control the popular and national consciousness and urge the masses to take action against the colonizers. In this context, the Palestinian Intifada poetry constitutes a counter-hegemonic dynamics of resistance that seeks to undermine the Zionist/Israeli narrative about the Intifada as a terrorist activity. Instead, the Palestinian poets have created a poetics of social change aiming to revolutionize the entire Palestinian people encouraging them to intensify their struggle against the colonizers. Using the poem as “a bullet” or “a stone” the Intifada poets are able to change poetry into a material force in the battle for independence. Due to the bloody confrontations with the Israeli army the Palestinian poets have written poems which seek to historicize the Intifada locating it in its appropriate social and political context as a popular/national movement of a colonized people dreaming of independence. Thus, the poetry of the first Intifada seeks to depict the unequal confrontations between the Palestinian refugees and the Zionist war machine on the frontiers of Gaza and the West Bank. On the borders of violence and fear that separate between the Palestinian refugee and the modern Israeli state thousands of Palestinian children have been slaughtered by the Israeli army.

Both the Palestinian and the Red Indian borders/frontiers have been historically subjected to imperialist/hegemonic expansion that aimed to transfer the natives into refugee camps and reservations. Unlike the perverted colonial narrative that identifies the frontier as “a new field of opportunity, a gate of escape from the bondage of the past” (Turner 1962: 4) or as a location for “romance, mythology and adventure” (Spiller 1956: 15) the Palestinian and Red Indian frontiers have been associated with mass-murder and military aggression. Historically, borders/frontiers have been imposed by the colonizers to contain, control and crush the colonized as in the history of Indian and Palestinian removal and dispossession. For native American and Palestinian poets, the borderland/the frontier that is created inside their native territories by the colonizers implies more than a political/geographic reality because it is related to their attitudes toward their history, homeland and identity. For instance the land for the Palestinians is the site of oppression, colonization, displacement and relocation. In the Intifada poetry, the native land, therefore, turns out to be the location of exile and revolution. This land-based struggle is integral to the Palestinian poetry about the Intifada which is an attempt to resist the expansionist policy of the Zionist colonizers.

Like the Palestinian Intifada poets, the native American poet, Joy Harjo (1990) is also concerned with the issue of the land. In her poetic collection, *In Mad Love and War*, she laments the fate of her own people “whose sacred land was stolen” (24) by the European invaders. In spite of the differences between their histories, geographical locations and concepts of border/frontier, both Palestinian and native American poets have been concerned with the issues of land and identity in their poetry. In their attempt to resist imperialistic forces that seek to remove them outside history, they developed a counter-hegemonic
poetic mechanism urging their peoples to continue their struggle against representatives of colonialism and oppression. In Palestinian poetry, the dialectic of land and identity is given priority suggesting that identity emanates from the land and that to reclaim the land is to restore one’s identity. In order to express the dialectic of land and identity the poets who wrote about the Palestinian Intifada have glorified the Palestinian children who were killed on daily basis as they challenged the Israeli war machine by throwing stones at tanks and soldiers.

The first Palestinian Uprising/Intifada poets used a new language of resistance which was called “the language of the stones”. For example, Shawki Abdul-Amir expresses his desire to be transformed into “a stone in the hands of a Palestinian boy” (1) involved in the Intifada:

I know the Palestinian stone will open new horizons / I want to be a stone / The dead bodies of the martyrs turned into stones / The land is covered with blood and stones / Dear poets / you should write your poems with stones / oh , children of the Intifada / your stones are soaked in blood and your blood turns into stones / covering our borders , our desert and caravans of camels / Put a word upon a word / a silence upon a silence / a martyr upon a martyr / And a stone upon a stone / Fill your bags with stones and continue your struggle / (cited in Al-Makaleh 1992 : 146-147).

The stone as an emblem of the Palestinian Intifada is given hyperbolic implications in the poetry of the Saudi poet, Abdulla Al-Sykhan:

The Palestinian stone is the master of the world / the master of the United Nations / I am proud of the stone that challenges the American veto” (ibid 160).

Using the Old Testament and the Koran as inter-texts, Ahmad Al-Hardelo reveals his admiration of the heroism of the Palestinian children “armed with stones” who are able to confront the Israeli war machine:

Children of Israel, it is your fate to confront our children / striking you with stones of fire / during the revolution of the stone / they confront you like death, like floods, like fate / they will build a homeland of stones. (ibid: 185).

The “stone” as a symbol is aesthetically articulated by different poets to create a complex pattern of implications inseparable from the Palestinian Intifada. Integrated in the intersection of homeland, identity and struggle, “the language of the stones” is not a location of discursive resistance but it is a concrete/material struggle because language in the Intifada poetry is dialectically associated with the land. In other words “the language of the stones” that characterizes the Intifada poetry is a crucial site of struggle aiming to restore the Palestinian occupied territory and establish a Palestinian independent state.

The conflict over territory is the major obstacle not only in the Palestinian-Israeli conflict as the pro-Israeli critic, Edith Kurzweil (1992: 423) argues, but also in other contexts. Historically, the native land of the colonized nations constitutes the basic target of the colonizers. Henri Lefebvre (1991) argues that colonial “capitalism has taken possession of the land and mobilized it to the point where this sector has become central” (335). In Palestinian and
native American poetry, there is a focus on the question of native land, the land which has been occupied, plundered, usurped and exploited under the Promised Land myth in Palestine and the Manifest Destiny myth in America. Due to the capitalist logic of colonialism or the colonialist logic of Zionism, the native American and Palestinian territories have been exploited and destroyed in a variety of ways. In Palestine, the indigenous people were forced to live in refugee camps inside their own native land after the occupation of their villages and the destruction of their fields. The Palestinian orange and olive fields have been systematically and exclusively destroyed since 1948 by the Zionist colonizers for the sake of building new settlements for the colonizers or as they are better known in western media, “settlers”. Like the Palestinians, native Americans have historically suffered from territorial colonialism since the European conquest of their land. In America, the Indian Territory was occupied and the Indians, after being persecuted, were marginalized in the extreme West or in reservations for a long period of time. Harjo (1994) refers to an Indian land that is “sprinkled with the bodies of my own relatives” (19). Therefore, in native American and Palestinian poetry, land has been the location of both persecution and resistance of oppression. In Palestinian poetry, the Intifada is a means of restoring the land and achieving the national dream of independence. In native American poetry, poets like Harjo, Ortiz and others, have explored motifs of land, displacement, identity and resistance in order to reconstruct power relations in favor of the colonized and the displaced. Expressing the burden of exile in her own land, Harjo articulates aesthetically the feeling of a native American who is metamorphosed into a stranger in America. This feeling would turn into revolution and protest “of love turned into activism” (ibid: 24).

Since the European invasion of America, the simple and peaceful life of the native inhabitants of the land has turned into chaos and anarchy. When the first European colonizers reached the American shores, the life of the natives turned into a nightmare and they became strangers in their own land:

They came from the East when they arrived, / came the beginning of our misery / the beginning of church dues, / the beginning of strife with blow-guns, / the beginning of strife by trampling on people, / the beginning of debts enforced by false testimony, / a beginning of vexation. / (in Bierhorst 1974: 148).

Chronicling the history of the frontier and evoking the painful memories of the European invasion of America, Harjo (ibid: 149) in “Waking-up Thoughts”, says:

Waking from sleep/ remember dreams of my other world / as an antlered female deer / on grass and leaves I’d graze / from the mountainside I’d graze / but I, Clouding Woman/ my man, Howling White / and now a Cheyenne, a Cheyenne, draws me near / and speaks a name no one can hear / “Deer Eye” / “Deer Eye” twice he said / now I know Clouding Woman is dead / now you’ve used / our home as your junk-heap/ taken our memories/ taken our eyes.

On the frontier, native American women like Palestinian women in the refugee camps, were targets for the attacks and exploitation of the colonizers.
From the beginning, the American frontier movement was associated with violence, bloodshed and anarchy. Gerzon (1982) draws an analogy: for generations, we lived with violence and bloodshed. For generations we pushed the Indians farther and farther west. And for generations we portrayed the triumph of white over red as a validation of our national manhood (p. 19).

Further, reckless frontiersmen ignored the social laws and moral ethics of the Indians as they moved from East to West bringing ruin and damage to the native people and their land. Like the Zionist colonizers, who demolished Palestinian houses and removed thousands of acres planted with olive and orange trees slaughtering Palestinian women and children, the European colonizers mercilessly massacred native families killing their animals and eliminating their forests as they rushed along the Indian frontier in successive waves: “ the Eagle has brought the message to the tribe, / the father says so, the father says so / over the whole earth they are coming / the crow has brought the message to the tribe / the father says so, the father says so / (in Thornton 1987: 142).

In an angry tone, Sanchez (1978) evokes the frontier memory condemning the American cultural mythology employed to justify the colonization of the Indian territories and criticizing the frontier mentality of the rugged European colonizers who brought havoc to the Indian land. The poet goes on to affirm her identity as a native American:

Father Europe / I divorce you / from this tierra indigena/ ...... to me / this land filled with / tradition / long before your / displaced dropouts / began the rape and plunder / of what was already ordered / this sacred altar / still holds the bones / of who I was / those roots of me that / ache for knowledge of / who I might have been / before your Manifest Destiny / robbed my flesh / and diluted my blood. / (p. 242) .................

Father Europe / I dispossess you! Take back my birthright / with the force of / my being / this America / belongs to / my people. / (ibid: 243)

The recalling the frontier experience in native American poetry is often associated with feelings of nostalgia for a pre-frontier paradise, a Utopian homeland which exists only in the imagination of the native American poet. For example, Young-Bear (1980) reveals such nostalgia for an Indian past:

you know we'd like to be there / standing beside our grandfathers / being ourselves / without the frailty / and insignificance of the worlds / we suffer and balance / (118).

The same feeling is expressed by Simon Ortiz (1976: 62):

I just want to cross the next hill/ through that clump of trees / and come out the other side/ and see a clean river/ the whole earth new and hear the noise it makes/ at birth.

For Indians, born and raised in reservations, the American society becomes a place of dislocation and exile:

the lights, / the cars, / the deadened glares / tear my heart / and close my mind / I see me walking in sleep / down streets / down streets gray with cement / and glaring glass and oily wind, / armed with a pint of wine / I cheated my children to buy / I am lonely for hills / I am lonely for myself / (Ortiz 1976: 37-38).
Ortiz criticizes aspects of European civilization brought to America by the invaders—“streets gray with cement / glaring glass and oil wind”—lamenting the aggressive attempts of the colonizers to destroy ecology and nature and bring damage to the “botanic, animal and human worlds” (Schein 1992: 231). In this context, Ortiz compares the materialistic values of the colonizers with the moral ethics of the Indian ancestors. According to him, the basic problem that encounters the modern world is America’s isolation from Mother Earth and fellow human beings. Ortiz also attacks the American urge for domination which is rooted in the American frontier mentality and could be traced to the colonial “capitalism’s quest for profit” (Ortiz 1992: 29).

This perverted frontier ethics, in Ortiz’s view, would lead to the destruction of America and the world: “The American political-economic system was mainly interested in control and exploitation and it didn’t matter how it was achieved” (Ortiz 1992: 31). Observing the exploitation and destruction of Indian territories for a long time by American capitalists just to achieve profit, Ortiz longs for a pre-colonial past where his indigenous people lived in harmony with nature. On this basis, Ortiz’s poetry, according to Gregg Graber (2000), serves “to provide context as well understanding of the racism that exists against Indians, the continued pressure by corporate America to exploit the remaining Indian lands, and the role that many Indian cultures could fill in saving the people and the land if allowed” (19). In *The Indians Won*, Ortiz (1981) overcomes his feelings of nostalgia for a lost past and discusses the plight of his people who were isolated in reservations or what he calls “jail-houses”. Ortiz points out that even when his people were released they found themselves in “a bigger jail”—that being modern American society (303).

Like Ortiz, Joy Harjo (1989) articulates poetically the alienation of the native American in the modern American society where s/he survives as an alien in the modern American metropolis living on the memories of the ancestors and a past that can never be restored. She acknowledges the existence of a dominating Anglo American civilization which intensifies the feeling of nostalgia for a Red Indian heritage echoing along the American city and “lying under the earth”. Observing that the spirit of the ancestors is still haunting the American territory, Harjo affirms that “the landscape forms the mind” and “stories are our wealth” (Harjo 1989: 24). In “Waking-Up Thoughts”, Harjo visualizes an image of a pre-frontier America lost at the frontier. Harjo’s (1979:145) vision engages a union between the poet and “the night”, “the forest”, “the sun” and the luxury of a pre-colonial paradise:

I live as the forest life lives / the soft leaves and wet grass / are my protectors / behind me in the sleep dead world / is Pipe woman, my mother time? / and Tall Man, my father earth / and Deer Eye, my sister dawn / the village sleepers stand beside my dream / giving comfort through the silent trials / of early rising suns / the sleeping ones are my friends / my heart’s song is to the sleeping ones (145).

In addition to her pre-frontier/escapist poetry Harjo is a native American poet who basically considers herself as part and parcel of a community of poetic
voices standing as representatives of groups and minorities which are excluded from the mainstream literary canon in America. In an interview with Stephanie Smith (1993), she points out:

I have been especially involved in the struggles of my Indian peoples to maintain a place and culture in this precarious age. My poetry has everything to do with this. I came into writing at a poignant historical moment. I was lucky to be a part of a major multicultural movement with other writers (24).

Like Harjo, native American poet Duane BigEagle (1979) writes lyrics characterized by feelings of nostalgia for a pre-colonial Indian country. Her poem “My Father’s Country” recreates an image of a pre-frontier/pre-industrial America which embodies the mythic desire of the native poet to escape from a mechanized civilization and restore a Utopian world that is lost forever:

father, Jet us walk again / as our grandfathers did / if need be we will make new bodies of this earth / eat only memories / drink only liquid split in our dreams / take shelter / in our love / in the vastness of this land / we need only the songs its spirits teach us / and to sing! / always to sing (144).

The romantic longing and nostalgia for the other America, lost at the frontier, which dominates the poetry of Harjo, Ortiz and other native American poets disappears in the poetry of Linda Hogan, Wendy Rose and Carter Revard. In Hogan’s (1984) poetry, the pre-frontier past with its luxuries and myths is approached as a part of an Indian history that dies and can never be restored or revived. The poet acknowledges that in the post-frontier era, the Indians suffered from exile, persecution and displacement:

and grandmother, blue-eyed woman / told me how our tribe has always followed a stick / that pointed west / that pointed east / from my family I have learnt the secrets / of never having home (165).

In Wendy Rose’s (1979:381) poem “To Some Few Hopi Ancestors”, the poet who suffers from the dramatic consequences of living in a post-frontier America, criticizes her ancestors and their Indian heritage which they failed to protect from colonial plundering and hegemony:

your songs have changed / they have / become thin willow whispers / that take us by the ankle / and tangle / us up with the red mesa stone / you have engraved yourself /.

In the same poem Rose expresses her anger toward the ancestors revealing a deep identity crisis experienced by native American poets who are torn between the dreams of a Utopian past that is lost forever and the nightmares of a present dominated by the same imperialistic forces that massacred their grandfathers and sought to dehumanize the remnants of the Indian nation once more.

In the same vein, the Native American poet, Carter Revard, denounces the European colonizers who are responsible for the misery of his own people and the destruction of nature and environment in the Indian territory on the frontier.

In Ponca War Dancers , the poet uses science fiction discourse as he describes the brutal behavior of the European invaders toward the Red Indians on the
frontier. Underlining the brutalities committed by the colonizers and their insistence on their cultural and social superiority over the Red Indian race, Revard (1980:44) points out: “The creatures that we met this morning / marveled at our green skins and scarlet eyes / they lack antennae”. The lines continue in a manner which aims to draw the attention of the reader to the greedy nature of the European conquest of America by blending science fiction discourse with Manifest Destiny mythology:

It is our destiny to asterize this planet, / and they will not be asterized, / so they must be wiped out. / we need their space and nitrogen / which they do not know how to use.

Rooted in narratives of racism and superiority, the colonialist ideology is responsible for the misery of the native people of America. The same aggressive frontier mentality responsible for the annihilation of the Red Indians is echoed in the imperialistic spirit which encourages the European Zionists to maintain their genocide of the Palestinian people. During the second Intifada which erupted in 2000, the Israeli troops, armed with sophisticated military arsenal, are encountered by Palestinian children in the refugee camps defending themselves with stones. Describing one day of the siege of the Palestinian refugee camp in Jenin, Annie Higgins (2002:1) states:

The Israeli soldiers begin by prowling the streets at half past seven when students from kindergarten through university are making their way to school....The children and young adults are heading toward school. .The hunters head toward the children. They find them in the streets, in the school yard, on the bus, and in their classrooms. The tanks’ rumble is audible from a distance and is terrifying. These are large, clumsy machines, not terribly fast, but terribly forceful. They make the rounds of Jenin’s many schools, trying to enforce a prohibition on school attendance. Since 1 September, school has been in session for a maximum of fourteen days. When they shoot at the children in school, class is interrupted. This is not new, but it is still alarming. Here is today’s harvest of students caught by the brave hunters in their tanks .

Tamari and Hammami (2001) also observed the dramatic consequences of the escalation of violence during the second Palestinian Intifada:

The young men armed with stones facing the mightiest army in the Middle East, the grieving mothers, the nationalist symbols unfurled at martyrs’ funerals seemed like a restaging of the same events twelve years earlier. Even the parades of the masked youth carrying guns recall the final days of the first Intifada. This time, however, the episodes were more condensed, the killings more brutal, the reactions swifter, the media coverage more intense. Within a matter of weeks, the language of the Uprising had become the idiom of everyday life (p. 1).

Apparently, the Palestinian Uprisings/Intifadas are the direct result of the Israeli occupation and policies of violence and aggression that include demolition of houses, destruction of olive fields and the building of the Wall of Separation, which cuts into Palestinian territory preventing some Palestinian farmers to access their fields. On this basis the entire world sees the Palestinian Intifada as a minimum form of resistance against the colonizers except for the American
mainstream media which views the Intifada as part of global terrorism. Schanzer (2002:5) argues that the Palestinian Uprisings against the British occupation in the 1930's and their counterparts in 1988 and 2000 have brought havoc to the Palestinian society including the mass-murder of children and the rise of radical organizations and terrorism as well as what he calls "the intra-Palestinian violence". In the same context, Stein (1991) points out that all the Palestinian Uprisings proved that "the Palestinian Arabs could not be trusted as equals in the future administration of Palestine or portions of it." He adds:

Over the last several years, Palestinian Arabs engaged in civil disobedience and political violence in different parts of the holy land. A political stalemate was impending, while Jewish presence continued to envelop Palestinians. Religiously, the shared disillusionment among many Palestinian Muslims infused an Islamic component into the ardor. The religious philosophy that was posited included a pronounced rejection of the West, the adoption of a militant course of political action through armed struggle, and a keen desire to expel the influence and presence of the great power and the Jewish invaders (p. 3).

The interference of armed militias such as Hamas and Islamic Jihad in the second Intifada and their operations against the colonizers has intensified Western and American hostilities toward the Palestinians. However, the militarization of the second Intifada is partly the result of the hypocritical and double-standard policies adopted by successive American governments toward the Israeli-Palestinian conflict. By advocating the Zionist/Israeli narrative about the conflict, the American policy makers have turned deaf ears to the atrocities committed on daily basis against the Palestinian stone-throwing children by the Israeli war machine. While the Iraqi invasion of Kuwait in 1990 was condemned by American politicians as an imperialist aggression that should be confronted, the Israeli occupation of Palestinian territories since 1948 has been recently acknowledged as part of the counter-terrorism campaign integral to the new American policy in the Middle East. The Israeli brutalities against the refugee camps are viewed as part of the self-defensive pre-emptive war against terrorism while Palestinian resistance is viewed as acts of terrorism. Obliterating the lines between legitimate resistance against the colonizers and global terrorism, the Zionist and American narrative of the Palestinian-Israeli conflict seeks to vilify and demonize the image of the Palestinian people. The hegemonic colonial attempt of the Zionist culture machine to demonize the colonized victims in the refugee camps and impose the image of terrorism on Palestinian resistance is similar to prior attempts in the history of imperialist countries against colonized people particularly the Red Indians and the Africans. The aroma of respect associated with the Israeli military activities against the refugee camps, as depicted in American media, is part of the colonial/hegemonic attempt to distort history by viewing the resistance of the colonized as aberrant violence and presenting the brutalities of the colonizer as anti-terrorism activities.
In response to the hegemonic discourse advocated by the Zionist colonizers and their American allies, the Palestinian and Arab poets who have dealt with the first Palestinian Intifada “the Intifada of the Stones”, developed a poetics to enhance the resistance culture among the Palestinian people. In their poetry they seek to subvert the Zionist narrative of the Intifada. They also attempt to undermine the policy of submission and indifference advocated by Arab regimes toward the Palestinian-Israeli conflict. In order to subvert the Zionist/colonial discourse about the Intifada, the poets, examined in the study, exploit the power of words to present their own narrative. Nevertheless, when they attempted to render the Intifada into poetry they felt that words could not express the greatness of the Palestinian children confronting the Israeli army just with stones in their hands. Thus, the poets seek to touch the emotional depth of the readers by exploring the heroic acts of unarmed children facing an aggressive war machine. The resistance of the Palestinian children is depicted as an embodiment of the suffering and agony of a nation fighting to save its dignity and the remains of a shattered homeland. The poetry that chronicles the first Palestinian Intifada constitutes part of the attempt to resist “the culture of tyranny” advocated by the colonizers and the culture of submission adopted by Arab governments. In an interview with Afif Ismail (2003), the Palestinian poet, Mureed Al-Barghouthi points out:

In all ages along history, there have been two cultures: the culture of tyranny and the culture of freedom. Today, humanity is in dire need of free intellectuals who should establish a unified front, a counter culture, that is able to confront the Pentagon culture with its oppression, injustice, racism, scorn of international law, and its adoption of the radical and hegemonic ideologies of both Zionists and new conservatives, those who want to build an American empire at the expense of humanity (in Ismail: p. 5).

Using Palestinian cultural symbols such as the Kufiyya “traditional head dress” and the olive tree and linking the Intifada of the stones with prior Uprisings, the poets aim to record the history of that significant event emphasizing its profound ramifications on the entire world. In order to historicize the first Intifada, the poets created a poetics of anger not a poetics of nostalgia because the latter, according to Al-Barghouthi “is an indication of romantic impotence unable to confront colonial aggression and hegemony” (5). Al-Barghouthi explains:

Your enemy imposed his will on you seeking to marginalize you, to decenter you and remove you out of your homeland, your birthplace. Your enemy aims to negate your relationship with your land in terms of place and time. Consequently, you should not surrender expressing your sadness and woes at your loss but you should be angry. It is natural to be angry since your anger is the threshold to action whereas nostalgia is a signifier of romantic impotence (ibid: 5).

In the Intifada poetry, the stone, like the olive tree and the Palestinian Kufiyya, is used as a symbol of the struggle of an entire nation to restore a homeland, or a part of it. Expressing his pride in the resistance activities carried out by the Palestinian children, Hatem Al-Sakr (1989) “Majdu Alhajar” says: “A moon walking on earth / the youngsters of our homeland / standing like swords /
making us hear another language.” (27). Emphasizing the political significance of the first Uprising at this crucial moment in the history of Palestinian struggle, Al-Sakr hails the stone-throwing children as heroes. He implores them to “draw a rising moon on our wasteland / a banner of victory / Be our coming thunder / A messenger of rain.” (27).

The use of wasteland images in addition to the resurrection and fertility allusions, embedded in the “coming thunder” and “rain”, expose the deplorable condition of the Arab world in the early nineties after the disintegration of the Soviet Union and emphasize the dire need for a savior to guide the Arabs in general and the Palestinian in particular to the way of redemption. Since there is no savior or Christ-figure among the Arab rulers,

“the game today is in the hands of a Palestinian child who says no / who raises the banners of our glory / the glory of the stone. .. In the past, the game was in the hands of decadent tribes/ speaking a language full of lies / depending on horses which have fallen down/ in the darkness of the prairie of fear (28).

Apparently, the preceding lines compare the high spirit and vitality of the armless Palestinian children confronting a brutal military machine with the rulers regimes whose castrated and impotent armies have given the Arab peoples nothing but recurrent defeats. The Arab rulers according to the poem, “are doing nothing except talking”, “seeking the support of heaven” and “today they seek the help of a Palestinian child carrying some stones” (28).

One of the Palestinian children fighting the Zionist soldiers, on behalf of the Arab regimes, is immortalized in Saadi Yousef’s poem “Yahya”. The Iraqi poet portrays his fictional protagonist, “Yahya”, a Palestinian refugee child killed during the Intifada as a national hero. Yahya becomes a symbol of the Palestinian struggle and revolution:

The banners of Yahya/ His clothes which are full of bullets’ holes/ Yahya in the refugee camp/ is collecting stones to shoot them/ in the face of fire. (in Al-Makaleh 1992: 32)... Yahya walks in the streets/ his armor is a speckled Palestinian Kufiya/ my son - you are a king raising his green banners/ Palestine is your kingdom/ Take whatever you like from Palestine, take all the streets of Palestine/ Take the land of God – take us if you wish” (ibid: 34).

The references to the child’s clothes “which are full of bullets’ holes” is a signifier of the brutality of the Israeli army. However, the allusions to the Palestinian Kufiya and “the green banners” of Yahya underline the continuity of Palestinian struggle. Yahya’s olive branch, a Palestinian icon integral to the Palestinian dream that seeks to restore their land or part of it affirms the insistence of the Palestinians to have a homeland of their own.

The Intifada erupted partly because of the American double policy toward the Palestinian issue which reached a zenith after the September 11th events. Akbar Ahmed (2001) points out that “when America’s war on terrorism unfolded after the events of September 11th, the Palestinians were pushed against the wall and the spiral of killing and violence increased dramatically” (p. 11) in
the West Bank and Gaza. Illuminating the Western double policy toward the Palestinian-Israeli conflict, Barlas (2003) argues that in Western perspective the Jewish struggle that resulted in Israel’s existence is represented almost universally as a nationalist struggle even though the Jewish claim to Palestine is theological not political in nature in as much as it arises in a covenant with God. ..... the Palestinians’ struggle for their own state is represented almost universally as a holy war (a kind of terrorism) rather than as a nationalist and anti-colonial struggle even though it arises in a political claim to land and is not based upon arguments about religious rights. (53).

Viewing the Intifada as an act of terrorism, the American culture machine, according to Smith (1992), ignored the fact that “the Jewish Hagana, Irgun and Stern gangs [not the Palestinians] were those who began the practice of bombing gathering places and crowded Arab areas in order to terrorize the Arab community>” (140). To the Jews, Smith continues, the members of these groups were not considered as terrorists but they were glorified as “patriots whose exploits enabled the founding of Israel and Menachem Begin”, the Stern gang’s leader became a prime minister of the Jewish state during the 1970’s (ibid).

In order to undermine the colonial discourse which depicts Palestinian resistance as terrorism, the Intifada poets present the Palestinian resistance through the image of Palestinian children carrying stones and confronting a powerful military machine. In “Ughniyatu Alhijara “The Song of the Stones”, Suleiman Al-Eissa describes a confrontation between a group of Palestinian children armed only with stones and an Israeli tank. The poet addresses the Israeli officer on the board of the tank sarcastically:

Why are you so scared sir? / Are you afraid of the Palestinian children? / Are you afraid of children living in the tents of the refugee camps / since you usurped their food and their land? /... Are you still scared of little children stoning your tank. ..Our children have nothing except their stones / but when an assassin like you showers their fragile bodies / with the bullets of death / They suddenly turn into an earthquake / driving you to madness / why are you so scared of them sir? / They only carry stones”( in Al-Makaleh 1992, 40).

The Israeli officer is scared of the armless children because he is aware of the fact that he is a colonizer usurping Palestinian territory. Being aware of their right to defend their dignity and their occupied homeland, the Palestinian children, unlike the Israeli officer, are ready to die for their just cause. They turn the Intifada into an earthquake which horrifies the colonizer in spite of the power of his military machine. The poet also refers to the Palestinian palm tree which is a symbol of life and survival affirming that the Palestinian people, like the palm trees, are deeply rooted in their homeland “Our palm tree is still growing / it gives us its dates / our children live under its shades / our ancestors died under its shades”. (ibid). As lovers of the land, the Palestinian children, engaged in the revolutionary Uprising against the Israelis were able to give birth to a new Arab Renaissance:
They said the Arab world is dead / and it will never come back to life / They said the Arab World has been Americanized / but a new spirit is coming back throughout our children / Throughout the remains of the stone song / I am telling all the poets: this is the era of the stone epic (ibid: 41).

In "Alhajar / The Stone ", Odwan celebrates the Intifada which breaks the silence of a world that has turned its back on the Palestinian plight and suffering. Odwan reveals that for years, the Palestinians have been waiting for a savior to put an end to their misery but all their efforts to terminate their tragedy proved futile. Thus, in “The Stone”, the Palestinians under became tired of waiting:

The shades in the middle of the summer die / as a result of waiting and boredom / the swords in the battlefield die out of waiting and boredom”. Nevertheless, the “stones Uprising” ushers in a new era: “This is the time of the stone / the water in the rivers turns into a stone / If you want to live in dignity be a stone / carry a stone / throw a stone in the face of the enemy (ibid: 42).

In Odwan’s poem, the stone is used to connote different meanings. It is not only a symbol of the Palestinian struggle and revolution but it is also used to signify the current Arab situation that characterizes the Arab-Israeli relationship just before the breaking up of the Uprising. For instance, when the poet refers to what he calls “the stony time”, he indicates the state of political stagnation and military immobility which characterizes the Palestinian-Israeli conflict prior to the first Intifada. Speaking of the shameful condition of sterility and impotence dominating the Arab World which partly leads to the popular Uprising in Palestine in 1988, the poet uses the images of “dying trees”, “sterile wind” and “frozen rain” as wasteland symbols to signify a state of futility and hopelessness. This condition of sterility and moral stagnation comes to an end with the emergence of the stone as a weapon of resistance:

In winter, the rain is frozen / and the wind is ashamed of itself / as the winter season always comes without rain / the wind is a blade cutting the trees / shattering the trunks of the dying palms” (ibid: 43)... The leaves of the trees turn into stones”, says the poet. Thus, the stone becomes a central symbol of revolution and struggle: “A stone secretly carrying its fire / whispering its secrets to a boy / full of anger and bitterness / If there is an enemy / there is always a stone / and the rivers of courage flow with stones / and stones pour down like showers of rain / (p. 45).

By the end of the poem, Odwan advises the Arab nation to “learn the lesson given to us by a Palestinian boy / in the era of the stone”. He equally urges the Palestinian people living as refugees in their own land to intensify their struggle against the enemy because they have nothing to lose:

We have nothing to lose / be a stone / we have been dehumanized / be a stone / We are not afraid of death anymore / be a stone / They have taken our homeland / be a stone / If you have no weapon carry a stone / scream, scream and your / voice becomes a stone / there is no more fear / no more relieving tears / as we see a crying boy turning into a stone / (ibid: 46).
The stone as a complex and subtle symbol, signifying a variety of meanings and ideas, is also used by Kazem Al-Sammawi in “Hijara, Hijara / Stones, Stones”. In this poem, Al-Sammawi not only criticizes Zionist invaders but also attacks Arab regimes for their submissive policy. The stone-throwing children represent a threat not only to the Israeli colonizers but also to fossilized Arab regimes:

A stone for a stony age / A stone for the Israeli assassin / A stone for a stony throne / A stone for the stony rulers / A stone for every Arab summit / and when they come to the summit / the Arab rulers turn into stones / A stone for those who / break their promises / A stone for the man with the Arabian cloak / A stone for the traitors / A stone for the stony regimes / A stone for the stony League / A stone for a stony conscience / A stone for a stony honor / (ibid:181).

The word “stone” is aesthetically articulated in the text of the poem at different levels to connote a multiplicity of meanings. On one level, it refers to the state of moral bankruptcy in the Arab world and the indifference of Arab rulers toward the Palestinian tragedy. On another level, it signifies a worn-out Arab political system that lives outside history and time. The poet indicates that the spark of the Intifada of the stones should be extended to include every part of the Arab World. The poem, as a whole, urges the Arab people to take the Palestinian children engaged in the Intifada as an example of revolutionary struggle. Al-Sammawi also calls for the elimination of all Arab regimes that have tyrannized their people and betrayed the Palestinian cause. The poet also calls for the obliteration of all organizations and institutions that have failed to play a vital role in supporting the Palestinian struggle, particularly the Arab League: “A stone for the stony League”.

Like Al-Sammawi, Ahmad Dahbour uses the stone motif as a metaphor of Palestinian struggle and revolution. In “Hajaru Ardena / The Stone of Our Homeland”, Dahbour sarcastically compares the functional and revolutionary activities of the Intifada with the sterility and impotence of all Arab summits which have been transformed into ceremonies where Arab rulers enjoy entertainment and physical relaxation:

When they come to the party / We are kicked out of the door / The party is on the honor of the Palestinian people / but they are not allowed to participate in its rituals” (cited in Al-Makaleh 1992: 110). Inevitably the breaking up of the Palestinian Intifada puts an end to “the diplomatic nonsense” of the Arab summits: “This is the stone season/ The stone is flying in the horizon/ It is shining in the day light / Oh stones of our land/ Come, come like torrents of rain” (ibid: 115).

Similarly, in the poetry of Shawki Abdul-Amir the stone becomes an emblem of the Palestinian historical struggle against the forces of evil and occupation:

We immortalize the history of our struggle in the past/ by writing it on the leaves of our trees/ our future is immortalized as it is written on the Palestinian stones/ If the Zionist invaders know/ how to occupy our land and play with history/ our children know how to occupy history and play with stones. (ibid: 148).
Ahmed Al-Hardello also uses the word “stone” in different contexts to emphasize the relentless and persistent intentions of Palestinians to continue their struggle and revolution against their enemy:

Our children will keep shooting you with deadly stones/ They will draw on the blackboards of our schools a country made of stones/ they will write in their notebooks about a nation of stones/ they will play in the alleys of Jerusalem with Palestinian stones/ when the universe falls into slumber/ they move around carrying their stones/ For us the whole world is nothing except a child throwing a stone (185).

Like other Intifada poets, Faisal Khalil uses “the Palestinian stone” in a variety of contexts to signify a complex pattern of themes and motifs:

The stone is everything and everything is a stone/ the stone is a minaret/ throw a stone and our land will burst into flames/ throw a stone and our motherland will embrace her sons/ and the rivers of courage will flow (ibid: 201).

As in other Intifada poems, the stone, indicates the continuity of revolution and the condition of social solidarity among Palestinians living in refugee camps and Diaspora. In Khalil’s poem referred to above, everything is dedicated to the Palestinian Even the people themselves are symbolically metamorphosed into stones, into weapons resisting the invaders: The poet continues:

Uprising “Heaps of weapons, ammunition fields and battlefields have become stones/ the thunder of the stones/ I know nothing except stones” (ibid: 204). My children are stones/ my doors are transformed into stones / the turns of our alley become stones” (204). ... I have already replaced the face of my beloved with the face of a stone/ My emotions to her have turned into a stone/ Even the palm trees and the corn fields have become stones/ The brown skinned child is transformed into a stone/ the virgin girl has become a stone/ I would like to kiss all the stones/ There is no time for deception/ an angry bullet lying between me and my head! my head, the bullet and the stone (205).

The stone image is also used extensively as a complex symbol carrying oppositional implications. From a semantic perspective, this is the first time in the history of Arabic language that the word “stone” is given all these meanings. Obviously, the Palestinian Uprising has radically changed many things including the meaning of words. In this context, Renato Rosaldo (1987:67) observes the strong relationship between struggle and creativity. Due to the Palestinian heroic struggle during the Intifada, Arab and Palestinian poets were inspired to create new poetic images and use language in a highly creative manner. In the same context, the native American poet, Joy Harjo, illustrates the importance of creative and revolutionary poetry for marginalized nations such as the Palestinians and the native American minority. Harjo addresses all oppressed nations urging them to write poetry and render their struggle into words: “Speak, speak, your silence will not protect you” (Coltelli 1990: 58). On this basis, the Intifada poetry not only becomes an instrument of revolution and socio-political change but also “a public act” (Wong 1979: 5) challenging the hegemonic and imperialistic discourse of the colonizers.

Bandar Abdul-Hamid says that the stone is more powerful than the bullet:
A bullet collides with a stone/ The bullet changes its direction/ and the stone falls apart/ turning into pieces of sand blinding the eyes of the invaders. ... when they hear that his elder brother teaches him how to throw stones/ the enemy patrol-wagons siege the house/ The enemy patrol officers set an ambush to trap and arrest a child/ who has been fed on the milk of the revolution/ when he was taken to an Israeli prison/ the child laughs and mocks his enemies. (ibid: 222).

The poet also indicates that Palestinians are not only living under siege in the occupied territory but they have always been subjected to police investigations in neighboring Arab countries and elsewhere: “We are sieged in our homeland and we are also sieged outside our country” (ibid). He further argues that as the Intifada rages on, the Arab rulers turn their backs on the tragic realities of Palestinian life. In a desperate attempt, the poet sarcastically seeks to awaken the Arab rulers from their immortal sleep telling them: “Hi, hi Arabs / the Palestinian children are fighting our colonizers only with stones” (cited in Al-Makaleh 1992: 222).

Like Abdul-Hami d, the Tunisian poetess Samira Al-Kasrawi attacks the Arab rulers who turned their backs on the Palestinian Uprising. In “Nashid Alhijara wa Aljulnar/The Song of the Stones and the Pomegranate Buds” she says:

you / sick Arabs / the Arabs who are obsessed with accumulating wealth and gold / the Arabs who are interested in empty sermons / the Arabs seeking palaces and crowns / now it is time for the fire of anger / the fire of the stone / this fire will certainly devour everything including your thrones (ibid: 263).

In the same poem, Al-Kasrawi addresses the Palestinian child,

Get into the horizons of history / and give us your wisdom / embrace our land and pick up your stones / the banners of your victory / the dead body of the Palestinian martyr is a minaret / beaming into the darkness of our time. .. Your brave steps take you into the middle of explosions / your blood embroiders the stone you hold in your hand / as you sleep in comfort in the dust of our land (ibid: 263).

Al-Kasrawi not only limits herself to symbols such as “the stone” but also employs other symbols such as “the David Star” signifying the Israeli army machine and “the Palestinian orange fields” suggesting Palestinian land and identity. However the Lebanese poetess, Huda Al-Noamani points out that the Israeli army can never put an end to the Palestinian dream of having an independent homeland because of the inevitable continuity of the Palestinian resistance and struggle. In a poem entitled, “Rebat Alfatah/The Fatah Connection”, Al-Noamani describes the Palestinian children engaged in the Intifada as:

Children of fire, the Fatah connection / they bravely enter into every castle / they enter into the caves of all mountains / looking for stones / They kindle the sparks of the Intifada / when the fire turns into ashes / they burst into flames” (ibid: 269).

The famous Palestinian poet, Mureed Al-Barghouthi in his poem, “Mashhadun Yawmi/A Daily Scene”, depicts the Intifada in a new context using shattered
rhythms and broken cadences “freezing the poetic language” of his poems and selecting appropriate words different from what he calls “the pompous language of false heroism” used by the Arab tyrants (cited by Ismail 2003: 4). In this poem, he penetrates inside a refugee camp and visualizes an image of:

a room with a broken window... there is no barrier between the clouds in the sky / and the rotten edge of the rag in the room .... a tired mother is suckling her baby. . (Al-Khalili 2002: 196).

Inside the room the persona of the poem stands behind the window watching a routinely and daily scene taking place outside:

Young boys loading their slings with stones / and sounds of cheering crowds carrying banners / and soldiers proudly shooting at children ...... one boy was killed and another martyr / was still bleeding on the asphalt” (ibid: 197).

In “Sonduq Jaddati / My Grandmother’s Box”, Al-Barghouthi speaks about “our collective funeral procession moving under the rain” evoking significant episodes from the Palestinian history of displacement and agony using the grandmother’s box as an objective correlative. The grandmother’s box contains “the letters of her loved ones which still carry the smell of the tears she has been shedding after their departure [and] the picture of one of her grandsons who was killed in Beirut [and] pictures of her new neighbors”. (ibid: 200). However, the most important things in the grandmother’s box are an old key of her house in Al-Leddah, an address of a house in one of the suburbs of Jerusalem and a copy of a recent lease of a small house in Damascus” (ibid). The reference to the grandmother’s key is significant because after the 1948 defeat the Palestinian refugees were told that they would returning to their houses and villages after a short period of time in neighboring. Therefore they were carrying the keys of their houses and some are still keeping them until now.

Palestinian parents told their children every detail of the villages and towns they had come from, showed them the keys of the houses they had been forced to abandon, recounted stories of their past lives, such that in years to come these children knew Palestine as if they themselves had lived there (Karmi, 199: 4).

The theme of death pervading “My Grandmother’s Box” becomes a leitmotif in “Ayna Tathhabu fi Laylen ka Hatha? / Where Are You Going in a Night Like this? written within the context of the Intifada as thousands of Palestinians were killed and maimed. On this basis, Al-Barghouthi’s death poem becomes a cry of anger in the face of death itself. The poet urges death to stop reaping the souls and lives of the Palestinian people. He then sarcastically advises death to stay at home as it may be targeted by Israeli snipers and helicopter gunships:

I was about to cry: You death, go away from here / and take the lives of other people / seek others, search for others / who are ready to give you a sanctuary / leave our children alone, let other people hold your deadly arm / as you walk across crowded roads (cited in Abdul-Aziz, 2004: 3). .... Where are you going in a night like this? / who is ready to protect you from October’s cold / or from the eyes of smart airplanes / or stealth bombers / or the looks of snipers hidden in corners / whose bullets are able to kill anything moving even partners lying in bed. (4).
In another poem titled "Laylun Laysa Lahu Mathil/A Night that Has no Equal", Al-Barghouthi creates an image of Muhammad Al-Dura, the famous Palestinian child brutally killed during the Intifada by an Israeli tank and the murder scene was televised by a reporter's camera and subsequently broadcast whole world.

He stepped into his room / his picture is still there near the small bed / He knocks the doors of all the rooms in the house to awaken his family / he wants to ask them about their life under the heavy shelling / and they want to ask him about his whereabouts after his death. (ibid:4)

Then the language of the poem becomes more emotional and touching as it explores the unfulfilled wishes and shattered dreams of the child and his family:

He wishes he could ask all of them about their life under the night shelling / They wish they could, ask him if he has already taken his dinner / If he has ever suffered from the cold of the night as he lies in his tomb / and whether the dust covering his dead body is sufficient enough to protect him from the cold of the grave/ they wish they could also ask him if the doctors have succeeded in removing the bullet of fear from his heart/ and whether he is still frightened or not ( ibid: 5).

The fictional dialogue between Al-Dura and his family is highly revealing as it captures the horrible moments prior to his death as it appears in television news bulletins when he was trembling out of fear, under the shelling of the Israeli tanks and machine guns, attempting to protect himself by hiding behind the back of his father. Al-Barghouthi skillfully visualizes the visit of Al-Dura to his family using words that reveal the profound sadness of the family and the inability of the parents to believe that their little son is dead.

While native American poets have tried to maintain a protective boundary as a way of asserting and defending their cultural integrity, the Palestinian Intifada poets seek to smash all boundaries - geographical, political, military and psychological - that may prevent them from restoring their homeland. Like native American poets who defend their tribal sovereignty and reservation lands against the capitalist policy of recurrent American governments, the Intifada poets seek to defend Palestinian land and identity affirming the values of struggle and the right of existence, in an independent homeland.

Like all oppressed peoples, Palestinian and native Americans have been engaged in struggle against colonial and oppressive forces that seek to banish them outside the realm of human history. On this basis, the Palestinian and native American poetry, dealt with in this study, is a reflection of indigenous struggle and protest against hegemonic and colonial forces. Written under conditions that imply a mode of power relations imposed by the policies of imperialist domination, the counter-hegemonic poetry of native American and Palestinian Intifada poets personifies a challenge to the forces of evil that seek to obliterate their existence and identity. Using poetry as an instrument of struggle, native American and Palestinian poets aim not only to resurrect what colonialism has demolished but also targets social change through resistance. Rewriting the history of colonization from the perspective of the colonized, contemporary Palestinian and native American poets seek to undermine the perverted narrative of the colonizers and recover their identities and historical
rights to exist as independent nations even if they are surrounded by the frontiers of violence and fear imposed by the invaders.

Notes
1. All citations from Arabic prose and poetry in the text are translated into English by the writer of the paper. Slant lines are used to separate lines of verse.
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